











Quantification of the Steric and Electronic Properties of Phosphorus Ligands



Cf. Andersen, N. G.; Keay, B. A., "2-Furyl phosphines as ligands for transition-metalmediated organic synthesis." *Chem. Rev.* **2001**, *101*, 997-1030.

4

The steric and electronic properties of a tertiary phosphine ligand can dramatically influence the reactivity at the metal center and lead to marked changes in chemical reactivity. The steric bulk and electron-donor ability of a ligand are difficult properties to quantify, and indeed, the two properties are closely related. For example, as the steric bulk of the R groups in a tertiary phosphine of type PR₃ is increased, it is expected that the intervalence angles about the phosphorus atom will increase. Such a structural change would thereby reduce the s-character of the phosphorus lone pair orbital, making the ligand more Lewis basic. Therefore, it is often difficult to separate steric and electronic effects with respect to phosphorus donor ligands since the two factors are so intimately related.



Tolman Cone Angle (θ) for a Variety of Phosphines and Phosphites			nd 000 00000 00000 00000 0000 0000 0000
ligand	cone angle (θ)	ligand	cone angle (θ)
PH ₃	87°	P(p-Tol) ₃	145°
P(OMe) ₃	107°	P(<i>m</i> -Tol) ₃	165°
PMe ₃	118°	PCy ₃	170°
P(OPh) ₃	128°	P(O- <i>t</i> -Bu) ₃	172°
PEt ₃	132°	P(<i>t</i> -Bu) ₃	182°
TFP	133°	$P(C_6F_5)_3$	184°
$P(CF_3)_3$	137°	P(<i>o</i> -Tol) ₃	194°
PPh ₃	145°	P(mesityl) ₃	212°





















History & Structure of Cuprates

- In 1952, Gilman reported the *in situ* preparation of Me₂CuLi (*J. Org. Chem.* 1952, *17*, 1630).
- House and others subsequently demonstrated that the chemistry of Culderived organometallics was distinctively different from their lithium- or magnesium-based precursors (House, H. O.; Respess, W. L.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 3138).
- Structural information on organocopper derivatives is scarce. Mixing MeLi-MeCu in different stoichiometric ratios provides an equilibrium mixture of Me₂CuLi, Me₃Cu₂Li, and Me₃CuLi₂. The latter reagent is supposed to be more stereoselective and more reactive than Me₂CuLi (Ashby, E. C. et al. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, *99*, 5312; *J. Org. Chem.* 1977, *42*, 2805).

 $\cap \cap$

Structure of Cuprates - The Controversy Begins....



- In 1981, Lipshutz proposed the formation of "higher-order mixed cyanocuprates" (H.O. cuprates) by the addition of 2 equiv of an organolithium reagent to 1 equiv of copper cyanide.
- These Me₂Cu(CN)Li₂ reagents were assumed to be dianionic salts with the cyano group bound to copper (Lipshutz et al. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 7672).
- Furthermore, it was claimed that H.O. cuprates are more reactive than lower order Gilman cuprates and be more stable due to backbonding from the filled d-orbital on copper to the empty π*-orbital on the nitrile (Lipshutz et al. *Synthesis* 1987, 325; *J. Org. Chem.* 1983, *48*, 546).





















Mixed Organocuprates - Enter the Dummies

R(X)Cu⁻ (X=alkynyl, CN, NR₂, SMe, PR₃ a. o.) in which the X⁻ group acts as a nontransferable ("dummy") ligand provided a breakthrough for the synthetic use of cuprates. Seemingly a paradox, cyano and alkynyl groups have low energy of activation for 1,2-migration as a consequence of participation of the π-orbitals in the transfer step. A long accepted hypothesis for the dummy ligand effect of these groups was that they form a strong Cu-X bond. However, it has been shown that the transfer selectivity is controlled by the metal-coordination ability of the X group in the polymetallic cluster rather than the Cu-X bond strength.



Other Cuprates In addition to Gilman and Cyanocuprate reagents, Yamamoto-type cuprates

(Yamamoto, Y. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1986**, *25*, 947) and cuprate/TMS-CI mixtures have interesting reactivity. Organocopper compounds can also be obtained via transmetalation (Kharasch-Grignard; Wipf, P., "Transmetalation reactions in organocopper chemistry." *Synthesis* **1993**, 537-557):



õõõõ



 Several conflicting theories have been proposed to explain this effect. Corey and Boaz suggested that TMS-CI accelerates the conjugate addition by the silylation of a d,π*-complex to produce the silyl enol ether of a Cu(III)-adduct and thus make the process irreversible (e.g., 2→7).







- The measurement of kinetic isotope effects is consistent with a rate-limiting silylation of the intermediate π-complex. These data support the Corey proposal, have no bearing on the push-pull proposal by Lipshutz, but do not support any importance for TMS-CI coordination of the initial enone or a formal Cu(III) intermediate. Also, the basic mechanism appears to be the different in THF or Et₂O, and it is known that TMSCI has less effect on additions to enones in the latter where the rate-limiting step is reductive elimination.
- However, TMS-CI does have a large impact on additions to α , β -unsaturated esters, amides, and nitriles in ether, and this might also go hand in hand with a change in the rate-limiting step.

























